## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claim 9 has been cancelled in order to reduce the issues for appeal. With the deletion of claim 9, it is assumed that the rejection of caims 1 to 9 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Estarda et al in view of McDermott et al and Hameleers et al and US Patent No. 4,228,795 is overcome hereby, since US Patent No. 4,228,795 is only relevant to claim 9.

Entry of this Amendment after Final Action is requested, in that the issues for appeal are reduced hereby.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael I. Stewar

Reg. No. 24,973

Toronto, Ontario, Canada, (416) 595-1155 FAX No. (416) 595-1163